Applicant:	Vivek Kapil, et al.	§	Art Unit:	2155
		§		
Serial No.:	09/454,689	§		
		§	Examiner:	T. Nguyen
Filed:	December 3, 1999	§		
		§		
Title:	MESSAGING BETWEEN	§	Docket No.	NRT.0010US
	TERMINALS IN	§		(10410RRUS01U)
	DIFFERENT	§		
	COMMUNITIES	§		

Box AF
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

NOV 1 5 2002

Technology Center 2100

REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION DATED SEPTEMBER 6, 2002

Dear Sirs:

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated September 6, 2002, the rejection of claims 1-31 as being anticipated by DeSimone was maintained. In the Office Action, the Examiner asked how it is possible that DeSimone "could function without the servers interacting and forwarding/creating connection messages from one terminal to another." 9/6/02 Office Action at 2.

Note that in Applicant's prior Reply to Office Action, Applicant argued that DeSimone does not teach receiving, from a server *in a first community*, a request indicating a desired real-time, text-based messaging from a first terminal *coupled to a first community server* to a second terminal coupled to a server *in a second community*, in combination with processing the request, by the server *in the second community*, to establish a real-time text-based messaging session between the first and second terminals *through the first and second community servers*. Although multiple servers are discussed in DeSimone, there is simply no teaching or suggestion